

SOP for Feedback Collection

DME-SOP-02

ISSUE # 01

ISSUE DATE: 01-12-2020

Standard Operating Procedure for Feedback Collection

In Compliance with ISO 9001:2015 Standard and (NAF Standard 10 Program Evaluation and Continous Renewal)

(Clause # 8.5.1 of ISO 9001:2015 Standard and Clause 10.5 NAF Standard Program Evaluation and Continous Renewal)

Copyright

The copyright of this procedure, together with all confidential information contained herein is the sole property of HITEC-Institute of Medical Sciences.

It may be copied in full or in parts only by the Management / personnel and only for the purpose of medical college and hospital related activities. Disclosure and copying of any information contained within this procedure to any person(s) outside the employee of medical college and hospital without written permission/authorization of the Director Adm & Ops. or Principle is strictly prohibited.

Drafted By: O1st December 2020
Head of Department

Reviewed By: 05th December 2020

Approved By: 10th December 2020

CY LEO DO CO

Page 1 of 4



SOP for Feedback Collection

DME-SOP-02

ISSUE # 01

ISSUE DATE: 01-12-2020

Amendment Sheet

Date	Revision #	Amendment Detail	Section Affected	Amendment Approved by	Signatures





SOP for Feedback Collection

DME-SOP-02

ISSUE # 01

ISSUE DATE: 01-12-2020

1.0 PURPOSE:

The purpose of this procedure is to;

 Provide guidelines for feedback collection from students and faculty as per the requirements of PMC, NUMS or HEC.

2.0 SCOPE:

This procedure is applicable to all students and faculty institute wide.

3.0 DEFINITIONS, ABBREVIATIONS AND CONCEPTS:

HITEC-IMS Heavy Industries Taxila Education City - Institute of Medical Sciences

QMS

Quality Management System

PMC

Pakistan Medical Commission

NUMS

National University of Medical Sciences

HEC

Higher Education Commission

HOD

Head of Department

CCM

Combined Committee Meeting

DME

Department of Medical Education



4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES AND AUTHORITIES:

- 4.1 Medical Education Head of Department
- 4.2 Assistant Manager Quality Assurance

5.0 PROCEDURE

5.1 Student Feedback

- 5.1.1 Feedback from students is collected according to the two questionnaires provided by NUMS as adapted by the HITEC-IMS at the end of each block and year or as & when required by the NUMS.
- 5.1.2 Curriculum Committee designed the student feedback form in consideration with the student's requirements and the faculty members.
- 5.1.3 Finalized document is presented to top management and the all departmental heads in CCM for its approval and validation.

Page 3 of 4



SOP for Feedback Collection

DME-SOP-02

ISSUE # 01

ISSUE DATE: 01-12-2020

- 5.1.4 Approved form is designed on google forms by DME and link is shared with students at the end of each block and year for gathering data.
- 5.1.5 Collected data is analyzed w.r.t. each question and the comments or suggestions provided by the students by Medical Education Department.
- 5.1.6 Analysis report is then disseminated to curriculum and assessment committee for evaluation and incorporation of required changes in curriculum.

5.2 Faculty Feedback

- 5.2.1 Feedback from faculty is collected for evaluation of Module / Block for MBBS program according to the questionnaire provided by NUMS as adapted by the HITEC-IMS at the end of each block and year or as & when required by the NUMS.
- 5.2.2 Department of Medical Education designed the Faculty Feedback form for conducting self-assessment of academic program in consideration with the top management and the faculty needs and requirements.
- 5.2.3 Finalized Faculty Feedback form document is presented to top management and the all departmental heads in CCM for its approval and validation
- 5.2.4 Approved form is designed on google forms by DME and link is shared with Faculty at the end of each block and year for gathering data.
- 5.2.5 Collected data is analyzed w.r.t. each question and the comments or suggestions provided by the faculty by Medical Education Department.
- 5.2.6 Analysis report is then disseminated to curriculum and assessment committee for evaluation and incorporation of required changes in curriculum.

6.0. RELATED DOCUMENTATION

Sr. #	Document name	Document Number	Retention Period
	NUMS Feedback Forms	HITEC-IMS-EXT-01	01 Year



Consolidated Feedback Analysis

Please provide consolidated feedback year-wise. Detailed feedback can be produced on the Inspection Visit by the University

1 st Year	Number of Students Enrolled: Number of Students Evaluated:	101 93	
ear	Number of Blocks Taught:	3	
2 nd Year	Number of Students Enrolled: Number of Students Evaluated:	102 98	
	Number of Blocks Taught:	3	
Ord	Number of Students Enrolled: Number of Students Evaluated:	88	
3 rd Year	Number of Blocks Taught:	3	
4 th	Number of Students Enrolled: Number of Students Evaluated:	101 56	
Year	Number of Blocks Taught:	3	
5 th Year	Number of Students Enrolled: Number of Students Evaluated:	87 38	
rear	Number of Blocks Taught:	3	
II. Eva	luation of Module/Block for MBBS/BDS Progra	m by Faculty-Form 2	
1st	Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course:	22 16	
	Number of faculty evaluated per course:	10	
	Number of Courses Taught:	3	
Year 2 nd			
Year 2 nd	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty:	3 22	
Year 2 nd Year Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course:	3 22 20	
Year 2 nd Year Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty:	3 22 20 3	
Year 2 nd Year 3 rd Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course:	3 22 20 3 21 14	
Year 2 nd Year 3 rd Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3	
Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3 23 23 23	
Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3 23 23 23 3 3 39	
Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year 5th Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of Courses Taught: Number of Courses Taught:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3 23 23 23 3 3 9 29	
Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year 7ear	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3 23 23 23 3 3 9 29	
Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year 5th Year	Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of Courses Taught: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty evaluated per course: Number of faculty: Number of faculty: Number of faculty:	3 22 20 3 21 14 3 23 23 3 3 39 29 3	

Quality Assurance Directorate | NUMS | 2019